

## REMARKS

Applicants respectfully traverse and request reconsideration.

Claims 6-13, and 15 stand rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Shoff* et al. In the “Response to Arguments” section of the Final Action, the Office Action notes that “an extended electronic programming guide for display is not mentioned or referenced” in claim 6. Applicants have amended claim 6 so that the extended electronic program guide is for display and that the recorded material identified in the extended electronic program guide for display includes at least one of recorded video streams, recorded still images, recorded audio streams or recorded closed captioning information. Such an extended electronic program guide is not displayed or generated in *Schoff* et al. Applicant is unable to find in the cited portion any indication of a program guide that is produced for display that contains both data from a live stream database and data from a recorded material database as claimed. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the claim is in condition for allowance.

The dependent claims add additional novel and non-obvious subject matter. For example, claim 7 requires that the recorded material is time shifted data of a predetermined live stream data. The Office Action cites to page 2, paragraph 17 and alleges that the supplemental material in *Schoff* is interpreted to be time shifted data of a predetermined live stream data. However, Applicants respectfully submit that the cited portion does not teach any time shifting of data whatsoever, and in fact, appears to simply describe a situation where a target resource is activated that provides immediate information regarding a program. As noted on Applicants’ specification, time shifted data of predetermined live stream data may result, for example, from systems that can be paused and then restarted during a television program. Such systems then present the program material that occurred during the pause and in some examples may gradually catch up with a live video stream. (Specification, page 4, lines 2-30). Such recorded material is not stored in the recorded material database in the *Shoff* et al. reference.

Other dependent claims add additional novel non-obvious subject matter.

As to claim 11, Applicants respectfully reassert the relevant remarks made above with respect to claim 6. As such, this claim is also in condition for allowance.

As to claim 12, Applicants respectfully reassert the relevant remarks made above with respect to claim 7 and such this claim is also in condition for allowance.

The dependent claims add additional novel and non-obvious subject matter.

Claim 16-21 stand rejected under 35 USC § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Hassell et al.* The Office Action cites FIG. 4 and paragraph 27 as allegedly teaching producing for display an extended electronic program guide, wherein the extended electronic program guide includes displayed information related to the recorded material and information regarding a current live stream or a future live stream. Applicants wish to respectfully point out, however, that the main menu of FIG. 4 as admitted in the Office Action is a “main menu” and as such, cannot be an extended electronic program guide since this “main menu” is merely a set up menu and does not display any program information of any kind. As known in the art, an electronic program guide includes at least, for example, channel information as well as some description of the program to be watched and typically time information. None of this information is part of the “main menu” of FIG. 4. As such, the cited reference does not anticipate any of these claims. Accordingly these claims are in condition for allowance. In addition, these claims have been amended to point out that the recorded material that may be referred to in the displayed extended electronic program guide may include recorded video streams, recorded still images, recorded audio streams, and recorded closed captioning information. *Hassell et al.* fails to teach or suggest any type of displayed electronic program guide that includes information that is related to such recorded content. Accordingly, this claim is also allowable for this reason as well.


As to claims 19, 22, 24, and 26, Applicants respectfully reassert the relevant remarks made above with respect to claims 16, accordingly these claims are also in condition for allowance. The dependent claims also add additional novel and non-obvious subject matter.

The dependent claims add additional novel and non-obvious subject matter and as such, are also in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are now in condition for allowance and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. The Examiner is invited to contact the below-listed attorney if the Examiner believes that a telephone conference will advance the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 9/16/05

By:   
Christopher J. Reckamp  
Reg. No. 34,414

Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C.  
222 North LaSalle  
Chicago, Illinois 60601  
312/609-7500  
312/609-5005 Facsimile